

RI LANKA 202

WEEKLY EPIDEMIOLOGICAL REPORT A publication of the Epidemiology Unit

 Ministry of Health

 231, de Saram Place, Colombo 01000, Sri Lanka

 Tele: + 94 11 2695112, Fax: +94 11 2696583, E mail: epidunit@sltnet.lk

 Epidemiologist: +94 11 2681548, E mail: chepid@sltnet.lk

 Web: http://www.epid.gov.lk

Vol. 51 No. 33

10th - 16th Aug 2024

Long-Term Safety of Reverse Osmosis Plants in Sri Lanka: An Epidemiological Perspective

This is the first article of two in a series on "Long-Term Safety of Reverse Osmosis Plants in Sri Lanka"

Introduction

Reverse osmosis (RO) is a widely used water purification technique that originated in the 1950s, initially for desalinating seawater. Over time, advancements in technology, particularly in polymers and membrane efficiency, have expanded its use in industrial, residential, commercial, and scientific applications.

The principle of reverse osmosis involves using a semipermeable membrane that allows only the solvent (usually water) to pass through while retaining larger solute molecules. In this process, pressure is applied to the solution side (where the solute concentration is high), forcing the solvent to move through the membrane to the side with lower solute concentration. This movement occurs against the natural concentration gradient, hence the term "reverse osmosis."



For reverse osmosis to occur, the applied pressure must exceed the osmotic pressure, which is the minimum pressure needed to prevent solvent flow through the membrane. Osmotic pressure is related to the concentration of the solution and is important for the effectiveness of the reverse osmosis process. Today, RO technology is a key component in many water purification systems, providing clean water by removing impurities through this process.

Reverse Osmosis in Global and Regional Context

Globally the use of RO water has grown in pop-

-ularity since its introduction as a home purification system in the 1970s, and it is now commonly used by bottled water companies. The World Health Organization has highlighted health risks associated with demineralized drinking water, raising concerns about the longterm safety of consuming RO-treated water. RO systems have been recognized for their effectiveness in removing a broad spectrum of contaminants, as shown in studies from Asia and India. A study conducted on the RO water treatment plant at Adakamaranahalli India in 2020 found that the treatment process involves several stages, including rapid sand filtration, carbon filtration, softening, chlorination, cartridge filtration, and reverse osmosis. The treated water produced by the plant is considered safe for drinking, while the rejected water, which contains high concentrations of various ions, is not suitable for household use. The plant operates with an overall efficiency of 60% to 70%, but it rejects nearly 50% of the water it processes, emphasizing the importance of reusing this water for sustainability. However, this process also removes essential minerals like sodium, magnesium, and iron, making the water potentially unhealthy for consumption.

Reverse Osmosis in Local Context

Reverse osmosis (RO) water treatment plants are an important intervention in addressing Chronic Kidney Disease of Unknown Etiology (CKDu) in Sri Lanka, particularly in the dry zones. These community-based RO plants are employed as a temporary solution to provide safe drinking water in affected areas, and ROtreated groundwater is recognized for its potential to slow CKDu progression (Imbulana, 2022). However, concerns remain about the long-term safety and sustainability of these systems.

Anuradhapura and Polonnaruwa districts in North Central Province (NCP) are the most affected areas by CKDu, likely due to poor groundwater quality.

Contents	Page	
1. Long-Term Safety of Reverse Osmosis Plants in Sri Lanka - Part I	1	
2. Summary of selected notifiable diseases reported $(03^{rd} - 09^{th} \text{Aug } 2024)$	3	
3. Surveillance of vaccine preventable diseases & AFP (03 rd - 09 th Aug 2024)	4	

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 51 No . 33

Chronic Interstitial Nephritis in Agricultural Communities (CINAC) considerably impacts farmers in NCP. To mitigate this, RO plants have been established to purify water and reduce exposure to potential nephrotoxins. The study by Jayasumana (2016) has assessed the maintenance and efficacy of RO plants in NCP, revealing that while these plants effectively reduce total dissolved solids (TDS) to an average of 29 ppm, there is significant variability in maintenance and operational practices. This inconsistency could potentially impact water quality and the longevity of the plants. Building on this, Indika et al. (2021) investigated 101 Community-Based Organization (CBO)-operated RO stations across NCP. The study highlighted the widespread use of these stations in rural areas, noting that the majority of RO systems (>93%) demonstrated high salt rejection rates. However, water recovery rates varied significantly, ranging from 19.4% to 64%, indicating the possibility for improvement in the efficiency of these systems.

10th - 16th Aug 2024

- Guideline for the Operation of Water Treatment Plant using RO Technique (2016). Food Control Administration Unit, Ministry of Health http://eohfs.health.gov.lk/food/images/pdf/ directives/5all.pdf
- Imbulana, S.M. (2022). Groundwater Quality in the Endemic Areas of Chronic Kidney Disease of Unknown Etiology in Sri Lanka and Its Treatment by Community-Based Reverse Osmosis Water Treatment Plants.
- Indika, S., Wei, Y., Hu, D., Ketharani, J., Ritigala, T., Cooray, T., Hansima, M.A.C.K., Makehelwala, M., Jinadasa, K.B.S.N., Weragoda, S.K., & Weerasooriya, R. (2022). Evaluation of Performance of Existing RO Drinking Water Stations in the North Central Province, Sri Lanka. Jayasumana, C. (2016). Reverse osmosis plant maintenance and efficacy in chronic kidney disease endemic region in Sri Lanka. Environmental Health and Preventive Medicine.



Figure 2. Locations of Community-Based organization-operated RO stations in North Central Province. (Source_- Jayasumana, C. (2016). Reverse osmosis plant maintenance and efficacy in chronic kidney disease endemic region in Sri Lanka. Environmental

Compiled by:

Dr Indumini Gunatilake Senior Registrar in Community Medicine Epidemiology Unit

References:

- 1. Bandara, T., & Witharana, A. (2023). Economic Feasibility of Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plants: A Case Study from Dimbulagala, Polonnaruwa, Sri Lanka.
- Goonesinghe, V., & Gunatileka, S. (2023). Efficacy of Reverse Osmosis in Reducing Chronic Kidney Disease of Unknown Etiology in Sri Lanka: A Narrative Review.
- Gowda et al (2020). Study On RO Water Treatment Plant at Adakamaranahalli. International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management. Volume-3, Issue-6, June-2020. www.ijresm.com.
- Gude, V.G. (2016). Desalination and Water Reuse to Address Global Water Scarcity. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 4(2), 2166-2173.

- Lattemann, S., & Höpner, T. (2008). Environmental Impact and Impact Assessment of Seawater Desalination. Desalination, 220(1-3), 1-15.
- Lee, S., & Elimelech, M. (2006). Relating Organic Fouling of Reverse Osmosis Membranes to Intermolecular Adhesion Forces. Environmental Science & Technology, 40(3), 980-987.
- Rummi D.S. (2017). Health Risks from Long Term Consumption of Reverse Osmosis Water. International Journal of Applied Chemistry. ISSN 0973-1792 Volume 13, Number 2 (2017) pp. 293-301. <u>http://www.ripublication.com</u>
- 11. Cons of Drinking RO Water in the Long Run (2024) Tesla healthy life. https://teslahealthylife.com/cons-of-drinking-water -in-long-run.
- Vigneswaran, Y., Perera, T.A.N.T., Saravanan, S., & Jayasinghe, G.Y. (2021). Influence of Reverse Osmosis Reject Water on Soil Quality in Disposal Sites of Vavuniya, Sri Lanka.

Tab	le 1	: Se	elec	ted	noti	fiab	le d	lisea	ases	s rep	oorte	ed k	y M	edi	cal	Offi	cers	of	Hea	lth	03 rd	- 09	th A	ug 2	2024	(3	2 nd	Week)
G	*0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	93%	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	%66
WR	⋡	100	86	87	96	92	100	100	75	100	86	100	80	100	100	93	57	92	93	100	91	89	94	91	95	91	92	92
culosis	ш	1393	801	398	385	83	181	286	06	66	180	18	41	26	23	103	92	76	351	142	193	76	155	73	214	230	92	5735
Tuber	A	43	44	6	0	0	ω	0	2	~	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	18	0	9	0	ო	~	7	Ν	7	164
nania-	в	0	14	~	31	190	~	С	337	83	~	0	~	œ	00	С	17	13	420	25	592	347	27	171	123	19	0	2435
Leishr	A	0	0	0	~	13	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	20	~	26	œ	0	7	0	0	0	82
igitis	в	25	87	39	13	10	15	61	23	60	13	2	e	15	4	32	29	7	198	48	37	24	24	69	95	47	1	998
Meniı	A	0	2	0	0	0	~	4	-	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	c	0	24
(enpox	в	339	277	427	298	112	168	494	237	239	153	9	5	32	4	85	84	56	352	97	189	98	254	82	227	611	163	5089
Chick	A	С	7	13	5	~	с С	16	3	с	З	0	0	-	0	0	2	9	7	2	5	7	10	2	7	15	9	127
abiies	в	0	0	~	~	0	0	~	~	0	~	~	0	0	0	2	~	0	2	~	~	0	0	-	2	~	0	17
H. R	۲	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
al Hep.	В	7	7	8	8	4	2	7	Ω.	с	2	0	~	4	0	17	£	ŝ	4	~	00	36	21	25	19	6	4	216
Vira	A	0	0	7 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	-	3	0	0	0	8
ohus F.	Ξ	-			й У		ò	2	č	4	43(-1	7	•	÷			÷	4	~	2	_	5	й Г	#	5		860
Ty	A	0		0	-	0	~	4		~	Q	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	Q	0	0	e	0	-	-	0	27
ospirosis	ш	327	470	. 507	181	75	. 135	516	. 340	327	17	17	21	72	64	59	151	127	452	175	317	206	382	. 560	1242	496	58	7294
Lept	A	,	4	14	4,	0	7	20	~	ω	0	0	0	~	0			~	U	0,		~	4,	7	4	53		192
oisoning	ш	16	20	34	54	19	199	77	4	26	31	7	0	21	17	52	17	Q	345	ŝ	38	9	31	84	15	1	00	1225
Ъ.	۷	0	0	0	0	0	~	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	18
Fever	B	45	13	29	6	4	о О	00	5 2	2	23	2	~	~	0	9	0	ĉ	с	с	7	-	4	ŝ	œ	6	~	194
Ë	A	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	e
phalitis	ш	2	19	~		0	9	20	с С	9	2	0	0	-	0	10	e	-	28	с С	9	0	Q	с С	Ŋ	9	0	138
Ence	۲	0	4	0	0	0	~	0	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
entery	В	21	31	19	30	ດ	103	35	25	00	47	ດ	9	10	7	95	26	13	38	2	16	17	25	14	79	12	15	715
Dyse	۷	0	2	0	-	0	~		0	0	0	0	~	0	0	4	0	0	5	0	ŝ	-	~	0	4	~	0	1 23
ue Fever	в	7669	3498	1989	3126	520	258	1474	637	668	5166	279	218	154	191	1294	206	596	1795	858	592	285	657	580	2006	1532	626	36874
Dengu	A	279	174	50	120	14	5	46	2	29	9	9	e	0	~	20	2	12	39	24	10	4	18	12	51	20	7	960
RDHS		Colombo	Gampaha	Kalutara	Kandy	Matale	Nuwara Eliya	Galle	Hambantota	Matara	Jaffna	Kilinochchi	Mannar	Vavuniya	Mullaitivu	Batticaloa	Ampara	Trincomalee	Kurunegala	Puttalam	Anuradhapura	Polonnaruwa	Badulla	Monaragala	Ratnapura	Kegalle	Kalmunai	SRILANKA

WER Sri Lanka - Vol. 51 No. 33

10th-16th Aug 2024

Source: Weekly Returns of Communicable Diseases (esurvillance.epid.gov.Ik). T=Timeliness refers to returns received on or before 09th Aug, 2024 Total number of reporting units 358 Number of reporting units data provided for the current week: 357 C⁺⁺-Completeness • A = Cases reported during the current week. B = Cumulative cases for the year.

Table 2: Vaccine-Preventable Diseases & AFP

03^{rd –} 09th Aug 2024 (32nd Week)

10th – 16th Aug 2024

Disease	No. of Cases by Province										Number of cases during same week in	Total number of cases to date in	Total num- ber of cases to date in 2023	Difference between the number of cases to date	
	W	С	S	Ν	E	NW	NC	U	Sab	2024	2023	2024		IN 2024 & 2023	
AFP*	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	04	43	60	-28.3 %	
Diphtheria	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Mumps	03	02	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	02	179	142	26.1 %	
Measles	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	13	60	247	239	3.3 %	
Rubella	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	01	100 %	
CRS**	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Tetanus	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	01	00	05	06	-16.6 %	
Neonatal Tetanus	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	0 %	
Japanese Enceph- alitis	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	06	02	200 %	
Whooping Cough	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	01	02	00	39	05	680 %	

Key to Table 1 & 2

Provinces: W: Western, C: Central, S: Southern, N: North, E: East, NC: North Central, NW: North Western, U: Uva, Sab: Sabaragamuwa.

RDHS Divisions: CB: Colombo, GM: Gampaha, KL: Kalutara, KD: Kandy, ML: Matale, NE: Nuwara Eliya, GL: Galle, HB: Hambantota, MT: Matara, JF: Jaffna, KN: Killinochchi, MN: Mannar, VA: Vavuniya, MU: Mullaitivu, BT: Batticaloa, AM: Ampara, TR: Trincomalee, KM: Kalmunai, KR: Kurunegala, PU: Puttalam, AP: Anuradhapura, PO: Polonnaruwa, BD: Badulla, MO: Moneragala, RP: Ratnapura, KG: Kegalle.

Data Sources:

Weekly Return of Communicable Diseases: Diphtheria, Measles, Tetanus, Neonatal Tetanus, Whooping Cough, Chickenpox, Meningitis, Mumps., Rubella, CRS, Special Surveillance: AFP* (Acute Flaccid Paralysis), Japanese Encephalitis

CRS** =Congenital Rubella Syndrome

NA = Not Available

Take prophylaxis medications for leptospirosis during the paddy cultivation and harvesting seasons.

It is provided free by the MOH office / Public Health Inspectors.

Comments and contributions for publication in the WER Sri Lanka are welcome. However, the editor reserves the right to accept or reject items for publication. All correspondence should be mailed to The Editor, WER Sri Lanka, Epidemiological Unit, P.O. Box 1567, Colombo or sent by E-mail to chepid@sltnet.lk. Prior approval should be obtained from the Epidemiology Unit before publishing data in this publication

ON STATE SERVICE

Dr. H. A. Tissera Actg. CHIEF EPIDEMIOLOGIST EPIDEMIOLOGY UNIT 231, DE SARAM PLACE COLOMBO 10